

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/921,559	08/06/2001	Hajime Nishimoto	212184US2	7058
22850	7590 03/25/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			CUFF, MICHAEL A	
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA. VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

J		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
\		09/921,559	NISHIMOTO, HAJIME			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Michael Cuff	3627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 De</u>	ecember 2004.				
		action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen		. 🗖				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔲 Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-3, 6-8, and 11-13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Esposito et al.

Esposito et al. shows, figure 1, a method for providing vendor notification marketing in an electronic commerce network environment. The salesperson's wireless terminal (user terminal) sends (sending article information) a Vendor Notification Purchase Request message back to the vendor computer (online shopping dealer, includes a terminal). Within this message is an identifier assigned by the vendor computer to the specific vendor notification. Upon receiving the Vendor Notification Purchase Request, the vendor computer performs a price determination (price storing

Application/Control Number: 09/921,559

Art Unit: 3627

Page 3

and calculating) to resolve any vendor notification price conflicts as discussed above. Then, the vendor computer also checks the vendor notification price against the salesperson's purchase price limit. The salesperson who is issuing the Vendor Notification Purchase Request is identified by the vendor computer by examining the requesting terminal's electronic serial number (ESN) (storing/reading out unrewritable information) and mapping (producing circuit, storage circuit inherent) this to the assigned (identification information, inherently includes a name) salesperson. The vendor computer checks the purchasing price limit as a security backup mechanism to the price limit enforcement that the terminal was supposed to have enforced. The vendor computer then transmits the vendor notification information back to the requesting terminal, adding a "suspended" charge to the account of the associated merchant according to the resolved vendor notification price. The charge is "unsuspended" (enforced) upon a positive acknowledgment (confirming circuit) from the wireless terminal of the receipt of the vendor notification. Note that wireless network 108 and the associated hardware/software provide the receiving and sending circuitry.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3627

Claims 4-5, 9-10 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Esposito et al. in view of Wolfe.

Esposito et al., as described above, shows all of the limitations of the claims except for applying the means to encrypt shipping data.

Wolfe teaches a method and apparatus for providing a proof of delivery verification for freight transportation systems. In one embodiment, the message, or just the shipment code, is encrypted by MCT 200 in order to provide greater privacy using one of several known techniques.

Based on the teaching of Wolfe, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the system of Esposito et al. to incorporate encrypted shipment codes 200 in order to provide greater privacy.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 12/7/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant asserts that Esposito fails to disclose a communication interface configured to send article information and user information including a name. The examiner does not concur. Wireless network 108 is a communication interface and the salespersons name (user name) is an inherent feature of knowing whom the remote terminal was assigned to.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cuff whose telephone number is (703) 308-0610 or, after 4/13/05, (571) 272-6778. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/921,559

Art Unit: 3627

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Cuff

March 17, 2005

Welf 3/17/05